G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1905

Later references.

1920-21

( )

File No. 22-20

Serial Nos 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B for August 21

SUBJECT.

Gift of land to the Sri Gangadharesvara Swami temple at Malavalli by one Yesha of Malavalli

---

1168, 26-8-21

To

The D. C. Mysore.

Inform that Government are not willing to accept.

Reitain

WD 1858—GPB—16,826—28-7-21

G. R. S. 11] 1920 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Onus)

NOTES.

File No. 22-20

FILE HEADING.

Gift of land to the Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Malvalli by one Seshabhatta of Malvalli.

From

The Dy: Comr, Mysore Dist.

No. 5536/1849 Dated 14-7-20 Received 17-7-20

Diary No. 132 | Enclos. Nil. | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that one Seshabhatta of Malvalli has gifted away by means of a registered deed one vritti (half in each of two vrittis) of Mansagere village, Malvalli Taluk, for conducting nevedya in the temple; that the donor is dead; & that his wife, his daughter-in-law & his brother's son have no objection to the gift made by him, and recommends that the endowment may be accepted & the income therefrom utilized for the temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The statement in the Dy: comr's letter that the deceased has left behind him his sister-in-law, a grand-daughter appears to be slightly erroneous. The rev: records disclose that

NOTES—First Sheet

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 29 of 191

Serial No.

Z. D. W

Sri Lakshmi, his wife, his daughter-in-law and grand-daughter, (Son of [illegible] Daughter) three years' old & his notice is now. The statements of the three persons to the effect that they have no objection the gift made by Venkatasubbaiah ~~filed~~ have been taken & filed.

2 The temple has a cash grant of Rs 11-10 0 and is, therefore, a Mirasi institution.

3 In page 688 of the Mirasi Manual it is laid down that doubtful gifts of land, which are not a source of profit to temples, cannot be accepted & cash endowments and that cash endowments are preferred.

4 As the income from the land endowed to the temple is stated to be Rs 17/- per annum, it does not appear to be a profitable gift.

5. Submit for orders as to whether a draft accepting the endowment may be sent up.

29/7/20

6 For Order.

29.7.20

The gift may be accepted ~~as stated and the land~~ ~~in the~~ and the same registered in the name of the Temple-Trustee via Mirasi Inspr.

Alley

31-7-20

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mem. File No. 12 of 1920

Serial No.

698-11
2-8-20

Second Member) WJ 2/8/20

If the portion of the entries endorsed by the Temple are made out in the name of the institution, with whom will be the management of the property vest? If the Govt. have not additional responsibilities be imposed? In a recent case the view taken was that cash endowments were preferable to endowments in kind.

Seal
3.8.20 WJ 3/8/20

S.T.
4/8

Sir,

I The office has not been able to find the case referred to above. In general cash endowments are preferable to endowments in kind because the value of assets in kind is liable to fluctuate and may have to be realised at a loss. For these reasons

sd
22/9/20 22/9/20 To. Please trace the file on which the two paragraphs in page 8 of Mem. Manual on "Devastpal Institutions" are based

Mem: Secretariat
sd
Mem F 78-05
Containing the G.O. mentioned in the margin

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4/10/20
ST
4/10/20

General and Revenue Secretariat.

mur File No. 22 of 1920

Serial No.

H.H

11. File no 78 of 1905-6-Ci put up - In G.O no 320. 3.75-868 23.7.1906, the petitioners assured that they would be glad to receive Cash Endowments instead of inalienable grants of lands --

12. In this Case, which have dealt with, when in charge of Malabar Dist ~~the Hon'ble may be sold & the Cash realizations invested for~~ benefit of the Temple --

d ant hy. Selling the n Hies & invesh Cash realizations for the

AMP 4/10/20

Secd Member)

2271-231. 12.10.20

13. Provided the proposal* is acceptable to the donor, there can be no objection to the offer being accepted. And rather that Govt. was not troubled with the work of looking after properties

ST 15/10

Peel 14.10.20

14. Dy Sy AMP 15-10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muj File No. 22 of 1920

Serial No.

15. Draft letter to P.C. Sn. 6-2

80
26-10-20

16. Re-draft please as revised
Advt 28/10.
Revised draft forwarded
Advt 31-10-20

Issued

17. Redraft 2/31/10/20

Redraft submitted
chg 2/11/20

2579-17.
3 11 20

18. Issued (illegible) 2/11/20

19. Draft approved.
I am surprised to see that in the draft
post-ap. the D.C. has been asked to consult
the donor who is reported dead!

Issued
5.11.20

5/11/20

To Dy. Comm. Ajmer etc.
No. 1692 dt. 5.11.20
Requests certain statistics
with the view to ascertain
the matter.

Sno

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

M/3 Fil. No. 22 of 19 20

Serial No

3. From the D.C Mysore., Letter No. Ac 553 of 18-19 dt 22-7-21

States that the Donors are not willing to sell the ~~xx~~ endowed vrittis & invest the realizations in the Govt. Savings Bank or any other securities & that if Govt. cannot arrange to realize the income from the vrittis annually and to conduct the sevas, the Donor's representatives are willing to do that for the Donor.

20. The Donor's wife Gjiramma and the Donor's nephew Subrahmanya Sastri, are the two representatives of the Donor, who have stated before the Amildar that they are unwilling ~~to~~ for the sale of the ~~xxx~~ vrittis & the investment of the money in the Savings Bank or other securities If Govt. are not willing to accept the vrittis, they say that they will realize the income & conduct the sevas themselves, subject to Govt. supervision.

W & C

21. The following points seem to require consideration in this connection -

sl(1).

1) The value of the vrittis is estimated at Rs 400 to Rs 500. In the registered deed, the Donor estimates the value

22/.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

at Rs 150 & the large difference between the two amounts is not apparent. If the value is taken at Rs 400 & this amount, if realized, is invested in the Govt. loan, the interest on the amount at 7%, will be Rs 28/- annually. The net income from the villies to the temple is only Rs 17/-. The addl. income will, therefore, be Rs 11. If this point is made clear to the Donor's representatives, it is probable that will agree to the sale of the villies.

2) The next point for consideration is whether the Donor's relatives have any voice in the matter. The registered deed makes no mention of any such thing at all. The villies have been endowed to the temple & the Donor has expressed his wish that the income therefrom, deducting the quit-rent, should be utilized for the Padikara Deeparadhane of the temple. If by sale of the villies & the investment of the amount, enhanced income is assured for the temple, the Donor's wishes will be better fulfilled. In this view, if it is subd., the Govt will not be going out of their

V/.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 2 of 1920-21

Serial No

if they sell the villas and invest the amount in the State Loan. If the villas do not realize Rs 400 or more by their sale, then it may not be advantageous to the temple to sell them".

2nd Subd" for orders on the above 2 points.

SD 15/8/21 Dy 15/8/21

23 As the donors' representatives do not agree to the sale of the villas, they may be informed that Govt are not willing to accept the gift & to manage the villas. The villas are reported to yield an insignificant sum and it is not worth while to undertake their management, it being well known that the management of villas is generally attended with great difficulties.

Chief Secretary) 16.8.21

I agree in the above views.

Revd 20.8.21. Dy 20/8/21

1155-28
19.8.21

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 22 of 1920

Serial No.	
	Draft reply to the D. comr is issued.
	22/8/21 22/8/21 22/8/21
4	No 1116 dated 26th August 1921
	The D.C. Mysore Dt.
	Directs that as the donors representatives do not agree to the sale of the untis they may be informed that Govt are not willing to accept the gift or manage the units

Serial No. 122
1920-21
SECTION Pol 2
FILE No. 22-20
55 1

1920-21.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Municipal
department, Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 14th July 1920.
Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

Submitting the records relating to the
acceptance of a gift to the Sri Gange-
-dhareswara Swamy temple at Melvalley
given by one Seshakatta of Mel-

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District,

Dated, Bangalore the 16th July 1920

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.N.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

Mysore.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the correspondence ending with the Malvalli Assistant Commissioner's yadi No.C.33 of 17-18 dated 15th May 1920, I have the honor to state as follows:

One Seshabhatta of Malvalli has executed a gift deed in favour of the Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Malvalli, giving away one vritti (half in each of two vritties) of Mensagere village, Malvalli taluk, for conducting nevedya in the said temple. The deed was registered on 17th February 1919. The petitioner has since been dead and has left behind him, his sister-in-law and a grand-daughter. Besides he has a cousin brother's son by name Subramanya Sastry. All the above persons have stated that they have no objection to the gift made by the petitioner. J.D.W

The Amildar further states that the value of the property is about Rs.500/- and the realization is about Rs.30. Out of the sum of Rs.30/- the amount available for nevedya would be about Rs.17/- after paying the jodi etc. due to Government.

The then Assistant Commissioner, Mr. A.Mrityunjaya Iyer, who inspected the temple, has recommended that the gift may be accepted.

The temple is a Muzrai Institution.

In these circumstances, I have the honor to request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the acceptance of the property

property in question as endowment to the Sri Gangadhareswaraswamy temple and for the utilization of the income therefrom for the purpose set forth above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

1679
Judgl. 22-20-2
Dy 25-11-

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 553 of 18-19 dated 14th July 1920, requesting sanction to the acceptance of the property offerred by one Seshabhatta of Malvalli, as an endowment to the Sri Gangadhareswara swamy temple at Malvalli, and to the utilization of the income therefrom for neivedya in the said temple, I am directe to invite refereence to G. O. No. 575-86 dated 23rd July 1906 and to observe that endowments of portions of vritties are hardly worthy of acceptance, as in such cases the title is often defective and their management is generally found --- difficult, but seeing that in the present case a deed of gift has already been executed by Sesha Bhatta, Government will be pleased to accept the endowment provided that his legal representative authorises them to sell the vritties and to invest the

realization in the Government Savings Bank or in some other security of their choice. I am, therefore, to request that the wishes of the donor ~~or his~~ representative may be ascertained and reported to Government for further orders.

Rec. 5.11.20

I have etc.,

(Sd.) [illegible] 1/11/20

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

/1-11-20.

GPB—20,000—16-2-14



From The Deputy Commissioner
Kupwara Circle

To The Secretary
to the Government of H.H. the Ruler
of Kupwara, Mujrai Department,
Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 22nd July 21

Received

General No. Dep. Sec. No: 553 of 18-19 Samvat
No.

Enclosure No. one file

Submitting a report, re the endowment
offered by one Sheka Bhatta of Kalavally
to the Sri Sri Sri Gadhareswara Swamy temple
at Kalavally.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 26th July 1921.

From,

F.F.Bowring, Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To,

T.R.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.13 S/Mor 22-20-2 dated 15th November 1920, regarding the endowment of Sri Ganesha Bhatta of Malavalli to the Ganapathiswara Swami Devasthana at Malavalli, I have the honor to state that on the case being received through the Subdivision Officer, Kanakapura, I had enquired into the donors representatives are willing to retain the endowed properties and administer the realisations from the Government securities and other securities suggested by you. If they were to be left in Government hands I understand to realise the income from the said properties and make arrangements for the conduct of the stipulated services, and if it is not found possible to do so, that the properties may be left to their own (Donors representatives) management if they would look to the realisation of rent and the utilisation of the same for the purpose intended by the donor.

Their statements are annexed to the enclosed vernacular file which is retransmitted herewith for perusal and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT. ... .. , ...

.. . .

....

Diary No. of letter replied to 216

Section Mysc

File No. 22 do

Serial No 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mag)

the *191*

No.

Date of issue 26-8-21

Signed by Mr. T. P. J. Raja

To

The Dy: Comr, Mysore Distt.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. Ac. 553 of 18-19 Mys dt 22-7-21 on the subject of the the endowment by one Seshabhatta of Malvalli of half of Each of two a thotta of the Mensagere village, Malvalli Taluk, for the benefit of the Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at the place, I am directed to st

that, the donor's representatives ~~may be informed that Govt are not willing to accept the gift or to manage the vrittis,~~ as they do not agree to the sale of the vrittis ~~and as the vrittis~~ are reported to yield an insignificant sum, it is not worth while to undertake their management, it being well known that the management of vrittis is generally attended with great difficulties.

may be informed that Govt are not willing to accept the gift or the Vrittis

2. The ver: file of papers is returned herewith

I have etc

Secretary
Mny: Deptt

22/8/21

22/8/21

22-8-21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by